Case 1:14-cv-06402-KPF Document 80 Filed 09/20/16 Page 1 of 14 1

G8BKJONC TELEPHONE CONFERENCE 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK -----x 2 3 ROBERT JONES, Plaintiff, 4 5 14 CV 6402 (KPF) v. 6 JAMES MEEHAN, et al., 7 Defendants. 8 New York, N.Y. 9 August 11, 2016 10:00 a.m. 10 Before: 11 HON. KATHERINE POLK FAILLA, 12 District Judge 13 APPEARANCES 14 ROBERT JONES, Pro Se Plaintiff 15 ZACHARY W. CARTER 16 Corporation Counsel of the City of New York BY: MARIA FERNANDA DeCASTRO 17 Assistant Corporation Counsel 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(In chambers; parties present telephonically) THE DEPUTY CLERK: In the matter of Robert Jones versus James Meehan, et al.

If the parties could please identify themselves for the record, beginning with plaintiff.

MR. JONES: Robert Jones, plaintiff.

MS. DeCASTRO: Maria DeCastro, for defendants Meehan, Criollo, Sager, Thornton, Mikonland, and Rivera, from the New York City Law Department.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much to both of you for appearing in this telephone conference this morning. is Judge Failla; I think you figured that out already.

I wanted to talk to the parties about a couple of remaining discovery issues and then see what the parties wanted to do in terms of next steps.

Mr. Jones, let me ask you a couple of questions, sir. I sent to you in the last, let's say, two months two different pamphlets of information, one relating to discovery and one relating to motions. Did you receive both of those, sir?

MR. JONES: Yes, yes, I did, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, I'm happy to hear that.

Secondly, sir, I received from you in early July a letter that had a transmittal letter to myself and to Ms. DeCastro and a notice regarding a summary judgment motion and the responses to the defendants' first set of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

interrogatories. Did you, in fact, send those materials to me, sir?

MR. JONES: Yes, I did, I did.

THE COURT: Okay.

Ms. DeCastro, I don't know if you received a hard copy of those materials but we recently had them posted on ECF, to ensure that you got them. Did you receive them?

MS. DeCASTRO: Yes, your Honor. I didn't receive a hard copy but I did see them posted on the docket.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's helpful then.

Mr. Jones, did you receive discovery materials from Ms. DeCastro?

> Yes, I did, your Honor. MR. JONES:

THE COURT: Okay. And from your perspective, sir, is there anything that you asked for and did not receive or at least did not receive an explanation regarding it?

MR. JONES: Well, the materials that I received, they pretty much started to open up a questionnaire whereas I had jotted down some things that I had wanted to inquire with the Court whether it would be permissible for me to file an interrogatory asking the defendants numerous questions regarding the materials that I have received because there was some things that weren't clear that directly surrounds the January 25th and the 26th and the 27th issue. Based on that, numerous questions came up and I wanted to try to get some

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

clarity on those questions because a lot of them were unanswered throughout the paperwork.

I did receive the officer's memo book file, I received that, and I received other documents which, after perusing these documents, certain things became clear and there were more questions that I felt I needed to ask in order to go forward with this case.

THE COURT: Mr. Jones, let me ask you about the nature of those questions. Are these questions about the origin of certain documents or do you have substantive questions, follow-up questions, about facts that are raised by the materials that you received?

MR. JONES: Well, there's a multiple of questions and I enumerate them -- I think 33, 34 questions -- and I had categorized them to the best of my ability directing the question to either defendants or an individual officer that may have been involved in the arrest, the presearch warrant. 25th issue, which, after reading some of the documents, it's been -- I've become aware that there were numerous other officers that were involved. And these officers aren't named in the suit themselves but they've played a pivotal role in the arrest, they played a pivotal role in the postarrest and all of the procedures, the interrogation and other things that surround questioning the validity of the arrest and/or search warrant itself.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Jones, let me stop you, Where are those 33 or 34 questions? Have you sent them sir. to anyone other than yourself?

MR. JONES: No, not as of yet. I had received notice yesterday that I was going to have this telephone conference and although I completed the first set of interrogatories that I was going to send and requests for documents, I hadn't had a chance to mail it but I brought it here with me so that possibly I could either send it at a later date or that I could make mention of the content of it during this telephone conference.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Jones, given that it's 33 or 34 questions, I'm not going to have you read them into the record but my concern, sir, is, from my perspective, discovery has concluded, so that usually means that there is an end to this. You have not explained to me why it is that you're bringing these things to my attention at the conclusion of discovery rather than in the course of discovery. Certainly if you had received materials that warranted follow-up discussion with Ms. DeCastro, I believe it was on you to speak with Ms. DeCastro about those materials.

So, may I hear from you why it is you're telling me about this now, at the conclusion of discovery?

MR. JONES: Oh, your Honor, the materials that I received, I received materials in spurts. Some of the material

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that I recently received, a large portion of it were medical records and the medical records were pertaining to issues or medical conditions that were not issues at that particular And then I had received the transcripts from the Court, and it clarified some things. And then recently I received a second defendant's initial disclosure, which outlined the involvement of these officers which aren't named in the suit but, again, they played a pivotal role. And by their playing a pivotal role in the arrest and everything that proceeded it, it opened up a door for numerous other questions. And just receiving that, because of my -- because of the facility's inability to provide adequate law library time and being able to access certain materials, I was unable to put together the questionnaire that would be sufficient for the Court, until recently.

So, what I had learned in the past, I had recently learned, I compiled the three of those of -- the two of those things and I came up with this interrogatory, although it may have been out of date and I didn't -- I don't have a lot of resources here as far as mailing is concerned, I don't have a lot of money for postage and I have to go through various social services in order to get things mailed out. And that's primarily the reason for my delay.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Jones, I want you to mail to Ms. DeCastro as quickly as you can, but certainly within the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

next one week, the listing that you have.

Ms. DeCastro, when you receive it, you will have two weeks to let me know what objections you have to it; sort of on a macro level, you know, if you object to all of them because of their being late, or a micro level, you object to certain questions for some other reason. I will let you know, Ms. DeCastro, not to poison the well, as it were, but given the statements that Mr. Jones made to me, I suspect that Second Circuit case law would require me to find these to be timely or to find an excuse to extend discovery to include these particular questions.

MS. DeCASTRO: Yes, your Honor. Could I just make a comment?

THE COURT: Of course.

MS. DeCASTRO: Plaintiff was sent initial disclosures back in March, which included the whole district attorney file, which was about 900 pages of materials. And the recent materials that I got was detective file, and the detective file, pretty much everything in the detective file was in the DA file that was produced to him in March. So, he had an ample opportunity to look through those records that were originally sent and come up with these questions. So, I just want to put that out there.

I shouldn't have been then so THE COURT: Okay. conclusory in my statement that I would probably allow it. Ι

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

will certainly listen to both his explanation, which I have, and your responses, which there may be more than what you've just given to me, but I at least want the process to be started by which he sends them to you and you let me know one way or the other.

MS. DeCASTRO: Yes, your Honor.

And just one more thing, I apologize: I'm going to be on vacation from the 26th of August until the 12th of September.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me, please, look at my calendar then. May I have your response by the 23rd of September, please?

MS. DeCASTRO: Yes.

THE COURT: And then, Mr. Jones, after I get Ms. DeCastro's response, I will set up another telephone conference with the parties and resolve those issues. All right, sir?

MR. JONES: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right.

Now, Mr. Jones, let me proceed to another area of discovery. As you are aware, in July, Ms. DeCastro sent to me certain files of the Civilian Complaint Review Board for my in camera review? And just to remind you, an in camera review means I look at the materials alone to determine whether they are responsive to your discovery requests or not or whether

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there is some basis that would shield their production to you.

In looking at what I have found to be three different files and the materials in those three files, two of them are not relevant to your lawsuit but one of them is, and I will order its production.

Ms. DeCastro, is there some confidentiality order or form that your office would require Mr. Jones to sign before receiving those materials?

MS. DeCASTRO: Yes, your Honor, there is. And I can forward that to the Court by the end of the day, and to Mr. Jones.

> THE COURT: Okay.

So, Mr. Jones, here's what we'll do: Mr. Jones, when you get that order from Ms. DeCastro, can you sign it and send it back to her right away?

MR. JONES: Yes, I will, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay.

And then, Ms. DeCastro, can I understand that you will send a copy of the file? It's the incident where the investigator assigned was a Francis Bolton, B-o-l-t-o-n. if there are things such as personal information that is appropriately redacted, I will let you do that, but that is the file that I want produced to Mr. Jones. Is that understood?

MS. DeCASTRO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Inasmuch as we are still in discovery, I think it is a little bit premature to talk about motion practice, so, Mr. Jones, what I am going to do is, I have your letter in June mentioning summary judgment. I'm going to wait until I am confident that all the discovery has been produced and that all issues regarding discovery have been resolved, and then I will talk to the parties further about their desires with respect to summary judgment practice. Do you understand, sir?

MR. JONES: Yes, yes, I do, Judge.

THE COURT: Okav.

Ms. DeCastro, does that make sense to you as well?

MS. DeCASTRO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

Mr. Jones, given that, is there anything else that you want to bring to my attention in this telephone call?

> There is one other issue, I believe. MR. JONES:

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. JONES: I had petitioned the Department of Corrections to come up with medical records dating from January 28th, 2012, that I was supposed to have sent to the People. I'm in a different building now, by the way, and I just wanted to place that on the record, that I sent notice of the change of address to both the courts and to Ms. DeCastro.

The medical records that I had requested, I had requested them when I was in another facility, which is the II G8BKJONC

Otis Bantum Correctional Facility. I'm now in RNDC, which is a totally different building and they have totally different mailing procedures. I'm going to try to make a second request so that I can access those records so that in the event that the courts or the defendants need verification of my claim as regards to injuries, I'll be able to provide that for them.

THE COURT: Okay. So, may I understand, sir, that what you're doing now is asking again for these records and if and when you receive them in response to your second request, or if you happen to get them in response to your first request at your new location, you will send a copy of them to Ms. DeCastro?

MS. DeCASTRO: This is correct, your Honor, yes.

THE COURT: Okay, all right. We will take note of that; we now know that.

Ms. DeCastro, you understand what he's just said?

MS. DeCASTRO: Yes, your Honor. My only concern is that we did send him some medical records from Corizon, from Rikers, so maybe if Mr. Jones can clarify what dates he's looking for, I can look into whether we got those and whether they match what we have.

MR. JONES: The dates I'm looking for, they start from the January 28th and they end at November 21st, after the case in the matter of People versus Jones, the criminal case, was discontinued. And those would be the records within that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

perimeter, which I will be pointing out some of the medical conditions that was under, how my condition deteriorated as a result of the health and detention for that 16-hour time period, and I was denied access to medication, and how I suffered renal insufficiency syndrome, and it increased now to where it's at its third stage, and that was directly related to the being held in detention without the medication and then having to wait days after my arrest and being put through the system until possibly, I think, four days before I actually had access to the medications that I needed and by that time, the damage had already been done.

THE COURT: Mr. Jones, this is the judge. to stop you for a moment. I believe the reason Ms. DeCastro was asking these questions is because she herself, on behalf of the defendants, has requested similar records from Corizon, the entity providing medical services at the Rikers Island facility, so it may be that she has already produced those records to you.

Ms. DeCastro, is that the reason for your question? MS. DeCASTRO: Yes, your Honor, but now that I'm looking through them, it looks like the time period that we got doesn't match. So, what I could do, just to speed up the process, is send plaintiff a new release for the specified time period that he wants and process that ourselves.

> THE COURT: Okay.

Mr. Jones, I think that may be quicker, given what 1 you're hoping to achieve, so I'm going to suggest, sir, that 2 3 you sign the two releases that you receive in the coming days 4 from Ms. DeCastro, one regarding the confidentiality that would 5 get you the CCRB file and the second, this medical release, 6 that would get you the information for which you've now just 7 defined the time period. All right, sir? MR. JONES: Yes, that's, okay, your Honor. Thank you. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 Mr. Jones, anything else today, sir? 11 MR. JONES: No, I believe that's it at this point, 12 Judge. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. 14 Ms. DeCastro, can I ask you, please, to obtain a 15 transcript of this proceeding and send a copy of it to Mr. Jones when you receive it. 16 17 MS. DeCASTRO: Yes, your Honor. I'm sorry, one more 18 thing: Plaintiff gave me dates but didn't give me the actual 19 year, so if he could give me the year of treatment that he's 20 looking for? 21 MR. JONES: January 28, 2012, through November 21st, 22 2013. 23 MS. DeCASTRO: Okay, thank you. 24 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, both, very much.

MS. DeCASTRO: Thank you, your Honor.

Case 1:14-cv-06402-KPF Document 80 Filed 09/20/16 Page 14 of 14 14 TELEPHONE CONFERENCE